any more requests for time on their side of the aisle?

Mr. ROTH. No. I will yield back my time.

Mr. DURBIN. Might I have 3 or 4 minutes? Then I will be prepared to yield back the floor as well.

Mr. ROTH. Does the Senator have time remaining?

Mr. DURBIN. Yes. I believe I have some time remaining.

The PRESIDING OFFICER. The Senator from Illinois has 23 minutes left.

Mr. DURBIN. I will not use that, I guarantee you.

Let me say this. I want to respond to some of the points raised in this debate. I have been involved in this debate for over a decade and have heard many of these arguments, and I disagree with them. But I do respect my colleagues both in the House and in the Senate who make these arguments. I believe they are heartfelt and sincere. I believe they are speaking for the people that they represent.

I believe I am speaking for the people that I represent not only in Illinois but across the Nation when I talk about the need to have some fairness when it comes to hospitalization insurance premiums and to stop all of the promises that have gone on for more than a decade that we are going to give these people fairness. "Oh, we love small business. Oh, we love the family farmer. We are going to get around to helping you on health insurance matters in the next year 2 years." Senator NICKLES said maybe 10 years from now we are going to get around to it.

Please. I have been involved in that debate. Senator DORGAN has. Senator CONRAD has. This has gone on for more than a decade.

All of these promises we can deliver on tonight.

Listen to the arguments. Again, I find it incredible.

One of my colleagues from Kentucky stands up and says this busts the budget deal. What? There was a provision in the budget deal that I voted for on this floor that limited the tobacco tax to only a 20-cent increase? I missed that provision. I don't think it was in there. If you will read it closely, that wasn't part of the budget deal.

I might say to my colleagues. This is meddling strange—that you can impose a 20-cent increase in the Finance Committee, and it has no impact on employment in Kentucky or North Carolina, but Durbin wants to put 11 cents on, and all of a sudden we have thousands of people out of work. My goodness. Twenty cents has no impact, and 11 cents more we have tipped the scales, and it is all over for tobacco? Give me a break. Give me a break.

What we are talking about here is an 11-cent increase on an item which is going to cost you \$2, \$3, or \$4 a pack anyway.

You know, they talk about it being a regressive tax. Poor people smoke. Yes, they do. Yes, they do. They are correct in saying that. Eighty-five percent of

the people smoking today—poor and rich, it is the same thing—"I wish I could quit. I really wish I could quit." Some of them say, "You know, if the tax gets too high, I might not be able to afford these darned things."

So you are talking about helping poor people. You are going to help them quit smoking, and help them live a little longer. That is a real help.

Again, one of my colleagues said, "Why don't you go around and tax corn? You have corn in Illinois. Why are you taxing tobacco from my State?"

There is a big difference. The corn in Illinois and the corn in Missouri can be used for nutritious purposes. When it comes right down to it, tobacco is neither food nor fiber—neither food nor fiber.

And let me add this. Tobacco is the only crop regulated by the U.S. Department of Agriculture which has a body count, the biggest single preventable cause of death each year. Don't stand up and tell me this is another agricultural product, another farm commodity. This is an item which, used according to manufacturers' directions, will kill you. That is what tobacco is all about. It is not another agricultural product.

So when you talk about imposing a tax on this, we are talking about the health of America and the health of children. Oh, yes, in that low-income group, that regressive tax, that to-bacco tax—the low-income group includes a lot of Americans who live on allowances they get from their parents. Those are the low-income Americans, too, kids going and buying tobacco on the corner.

Mr. FAIRCLOTH. Will the Senator yield?

Mr. DURBIN. I am happy to yield.

Mr. FAIRCLOTH. Would you give me an estimate of how many people are sick or die from drinking liquor a year made out of corn?

Mr. DURBIN. I can't answer you that question.

Mr. FAIRCLOTH. If you know a lot about tobacco, then you should know something about corn.

Mr. DURBIN. I know that corn is a nutritious product and can be used and is probably consumed on a regular basis by the Senator who asked me the question. He looks pretty healthy.

I will tell you something else. To-bacco is the No. 1 preventable cause of death in America today. You can't say that about corn, soybeans, wheat or any other commodity. You can't say that about it. You know it as well as I do. You can't make light of the fact that a product, if used as intended, kills people. You can't make light of the fact that when you follow the manufacturers' directions, you die when you use that product.

Mr. FAIRCLOTH. What is the point? I am not trying to—

Mr. DURBIN. Mr. President, let the Senator speak on his own time.

Mr. President, regular order.

The PRESIDING OFFICER. The Senator from Illinois has the floor.

Mr. DURBIN. Let me tell you this in closing.

I have heard a lot of arguments tonight made about the defense of tobacco. I say to my colleagues on both sides, if you are ready to vote for this tax bill, you are already imposing a tax on tobacco of 20 cents. I am saying to you that 11 cents is going to buy a lot of good for America—not only keeping the products out of the hands of kids but finally keeping our promise to small business and family farmers.

I urge you to look beyond some of the arguments that you have heard tonight, that you have heard over and over again, and think about the bottom line when this is done. Thirty-one cents on a package of tobacco is not going to break the tobacco industry. But it is going to save a lot of small businesses which will have a chance to survive

I yield the floor.

The PRESIDING OFFICER. Who yields time?

Mr. ROTH. Mr. President, I make a point of order that a quorum is not present.

The PRESIDING OFFICER. The clerk will call the roll.

The legislative clerk proceeded to call the roll.

Mr. ROTH. Mr. President, I ask unanimous consent that the order for the quorum call be rescinded.

The PRESIDING OFFICER. Without objection, it is so ordered.

Mr. ROTH. Mr. President, has the distinguished Senator from Illinois returned all time?

The PRESIDING OFFICER. No. The Senator from Illinois has 18 more minutes remaining.

Mr. ROTH. Does the Senator want to yield back?

Mr. DURBIN. I am prepared to yield back my time.

Mr. ROTH. I am prepared to yield back the remainder of the time.

The PRESIDING OFFICER. All time is yielded.

Mr. ROTH. Mr. President, the pending amendment is not germane to the provisions of the reconciliation measure. I, therefore, raise a point of order against the amendment under section 305(b)(2) of the Budget Act.

I ask for the yeas and nays.

The PRESIDĬNG OFFICĔR. Is there a sufficient second?

Mr. DURBIN. I move to waive the Budget Act, and I ask for the yeas and navs

The PRESIDING OFFICER. Is there a sufficient second?

There is a sufficient second.

The yeas and nays were ordered.

Mr. ROTH. Mr. President, I suggest the absence of a quorum.

The PRESIDING OFFICER. The clerk will call the roll.

The legislative clerk proceeded to call the roll.

Mr. ROTH. Mr. President, I ask unanimous consent that the order for the quorum call be rescinded.